Wallable Copy

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD  Effective January 1, 2003								
CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I (Cotumn 1) (Cotumn 2)				SMALL E	NTITY	OR	OTHER	
TOTAL CLAIMS	18.			RATE	FEE	1	RATE	FEE
FOR .	NUMBER FILED	RJEMUN	EXTRA	BASIC FEE 375.		OR	BASIC FEE	750.00
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	18 minus 20= * -7			X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	.2 minus 3 = -6			X42=		OR	X84=	
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT			+140=		OR	+280=		
* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2				TOTAL		OR	TOTAL	850,00
CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II (Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)				SMALL	ENTITY	OR	OTHER	
(Column 1)	HIGH		otumn 3)	31110	ADDI-	1	CHERCE	ADOI-
REMARKING AFTER AMENDMENT	PREVIO PAID	<b>WSLY</b>	RESENT EXTRA	RATE	TIONAL		RATE	TIONAL
AFTER AMENDMENT  Total • 26  Independent • 5	Minus -2	) -	6	X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
Independent 5	Minus •••	غليك	2	X42=		OR	X84=	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM				+140=		OR	+280=	
				TOTAL		OR	TOTAL ADDIT, FEE	
3/18/05 (Column 1)	(Cotun	nn 2) (C	otumn 3)	ADDIT. FEE			NOOM. PEE	
CLAMS	HIGH	EST			ADOI-	1		ADDI-
REMARKING AFTER AMENDMENT  Total	NUME PREVIO PAID	PUSLY	RESENT EXTRA	RATE	TIONAL FEE		PATE	TIONAL
Total ,		0.		X\$ 9=		OR	X\$18=	
tndependent •	Muse besencent	<u> </u>		X42=		OR	X84=	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM				+140=		OR	+280=	
				TOTAL ADDIT, FEE		QR.	YOTAL ADOIT, FEE	
(Column 1)	(Colum	m 2) (Co	olumn 3)					
CLAMS '	HIGH	ST.			ADDI-			ADDI-
AFTER AMENOMENT	PREVIO PAID I	USLY	RESENT EXTRA	RATE	TIONAL FEE		RATE	TIONAL
Total - 21 Independent - 5	Ninus 2	6 -	./	X\$ 9=		OR	X818=	50
Independent • 5	Minus •••	<u>5</u> •		X42-		00	X84=	
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM								
A strain and a strain and a strain and as		- عنظم حافقة		+140=		OR	+280=	
* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.  "If the "lighest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."  A				TOTAL ADDIT, FEE		OR	TOTAL VOOIT, FEE	50
""If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (N THIS SPACE is test than 3, enter "3."  The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number tound in the appropriate box is column 1.								

## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JUL 1 5 2005

In re Application of:

David Morrow et al.

Serial No.: 10/642,879

Examiner: Michael S. Chambers

Filed:

August 18, 2003

Group Art Unit: 3711

For:

LACROSSE HANDLE

Attorney Docket No.: WLI 1063 PUS

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted via facabulle to (571) 273-8300 addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on:

7-15-05 Date of Deposit

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir.

This is in response to the Office Action mailed May 16, 2005. This response is timely as it is being filed within the three (3) month period set for response. Kindly amend the above-identified Application as follows:

500476 10642879

P.89/89

Claims 19 and 23 both require that at least a longitudinal portion of half of a lacrosse handle has a thicker surface (as a function of the internal surface to the external surface) than the other half. In contrast, Hoult merely discloses having longitudinal ribs, as discussed above. The longitudinal ribs do not provide the same strength and playability characteristics as claimed in the present Application. The reinforced sides are included such that areas of the handle that often undergo severe trauma are reinforced while weight of the handle is not significantly changed.

ARTZ ARTZ LAW OFFICES

CSPS merely describes a titanium handle for a lacrosse stick highly susceptible to breaking during lacrosse play. CSPS, however, does not disclose or suggest ways to improve this problem, such as the reinforced handle claimed in the present invention. Therefore, because each and every element of claims, 19 and 23 is not found in the prior art, claims 19 and 23 are believed to be allowable. Claims 20-22 and 24-26 depend from the amended claims 19 and 23 and are believed to be allowable for at least this reason.

## Conclusion:

It is respectfully submitted that all objections and rejections of record have been overcome and that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. A notice of allowance is therefore earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner should have any questions, she is urged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted.

ARTZ & ARTZ P.C.

Justin H. Purcell Reg. No. 53,493

28333 Telegraph Road, Ste. 250

Southfield, MI 48034

(248) 223-9500

Dated: July 15, 2005